1	DOUGLAS CARSTEN, SBN 198467 dcarsten@foley.com DEBRA D. NYE, SBN 226076 dnye@foley.com Foley & Lardner LLP	
2		
3		
4	11250 El Camino Real, Suit 200 San Diego, California 92130	
5	Telephone: (858) 847-6700	
6	Attorneys for Defendant	
7	SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	JENS ERIK SORENSEN, as Trustee of) Case No. 08-cv-0135 BTM (CAB)
12	SORENSEN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TRUST,	DEFENDANT SANYO NORTH
13		AMERICA CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND
14	Plaintiff v.	MOTION TO STAY THE LITIGATION PENDING
15	SANYO NORTH AMERICA) REEXAMINATION OF U.S.) PATENT NO. 4,935,184.
16	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;) Date: May 30, 2008
17	and DOES 1 – 100,	Time: 11:00 a.m. Courtroom 15, Fifth Floor
18	Defendants.	Hon. Barry Ted Moskowitz
19		NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS REQUESTED BY THE COURT
20)
21		
22	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on May 30, 2008 at 11:00 a.m., or as soon	
23	thereafter as the matter may be heard by the above-entitled Court, located at 940 Front	
24	Street, San Diego, CA 92101-8900, the Defendant in this action, Sanyo North America	
25	Corporation ("Sanyo"), will and hereby does respectfully move to stay the above-captioned	
26	proceeding pending the United States Patent and Trademark Office's ("PTO")	
27	reexamination of the sole patent asserted in this case, United States Patent No. 4,935,184	
28	("the '184 patent").	
	II	

A stay of the present litigation is particularly warranted given the current state of this 1 2 case. No trial date has been set. The parties have not begun fact or expert discovery. The 3 Court has not conducted a *Markman* hearing or construed the terms of the '184 patent. In 4 fact, the only actions taken thus far by the parties in addition to this motion are Plaintiff 5 Jens Erik Sorensen, as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development Trust's 6 ("Sorensen") filing of the Complaint for infringement and Sanyo's filing of its Answer. 7 Therefore, a stay will conserve the resources of both the Court and the parties, and will also provide the benefit of simplifying (if not eliminating) the issues for trial. Given 8 9 that the '184 patent expired on February 5, 2008 and the parties have not begun discovery, 10 Sorensen would not be prejudiced in any way by entry of an order staying the present litigation pending the PTO's reexamination of the '184 patent. 11 12 For the reasons set forth in greater detail in the supporting memorandum and 13 declaration of Scott Daniels, Esq., submitted herewith, Sanyo respectfully requests that the 14 Court order this case stayed pending completion of the PTO's ongoing reexamination of the 15 '184 patent. 16 Respectfully submitted, 17 Date: April 9, 2008 18 19 /s/ Douglas Carsten 20 Douglas H. Carsten, SBN 198467 21

Douglas H. Carsten, SBN 198467 dcarsten@foley.com FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 11250 El Camino Real, Suite 200 San Diego, California 92130 Phone: 858-847-6700

Attorneys for Defendant SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION

27

22

23

24

25

26

28